XOM, formed through the merger of Exxon and Mobil in late 1999, is the world’s largest publicly owned integrated oil company.

Key Stock Statistics (Source: S&P, Vickers, company reports)

- **52-Wk Range**: $82.50–69.21
- **S&P Oper. EPS 2012E**: 7.58
- **Market Capitalization(B)**: $424,297
- **Beta**: 1.90

- **Yield (%)**: 7.58
- **Dividend Rate/Share**: $2.28
- **S&P Credit Rating**: AAA
- **beta**: 0.53

Price Performance

- **12-Mo. Target Price**: $103.00
- **Dividends have been paid since 1882. Source: Company reports.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/08/12</td>
<td>$0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/26/11</td>
<td>$0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/08/12</td>
<td>$0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/12/11</td>
<td>$0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/12</td>
<td>$0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/09/11</td>
<td>$0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/27/13</td>
<td>$0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/25/13</td>
<td>$0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/09/13</td>
<td>$0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/13</td>
<td>$0.570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis prepared by Equity Analyst Michael Kay on Sep 11, 2012, when the stock traded at $89.48.

**Highlights**

- XOM grew production to 4.53 MMboe/d in 2011, up 1%, as XTO, Qatar and Iraq outweighed the effects of OPEC quotas and production-sharing contracts. XOM has reduced its production outlook, targeting a 3% decline in 2012, and average growth of 1%-2% per year between 2011-2016, driven by liquids. XOM assumes a base asset decline rate of 3%. Volumes were down 5.5% in the first half of 2012. The start-up of 22 major projects in 2012-2014 should add over 400,000 boe/d (80% liquids) by 2014 and over 1 MMboe/d by 2016. XOM has a resource base of over 35 Bboe in unconventional projects, about 44% of its total resource base of 87 Bboe. About 87% of the Kearl project construction is complete and expected to add 120 Mboe/d by 2012 and 300 Mboe/d by 2013.

- We see the downstream being pressured in the second half of 2012 as new capacity and slower demand growth affect supply/demand balances. XOM’s refineries provide a top-tier cost structure, offsetting some of the impact.

- We see EPS of $7.58 in 2012, versus $8.42 in 2011, and $8.18 in 2013. XOM sees $5 billion per quarter in stock repurchases and capex of $37 billion a year through 2016.

**Investment Rationale/Risk**

- XOM has enjoyed superior earnings and dividend growth and stability. We believe it will benefit from “big-pocket” upstream growth opportunities in the deepwater, Arctic and Black Sea, LNG, onshore unconventional, and ventures with state-owned companies. We think XOM’s advanced technology permits project development in a timely and cost-efficient manner. In addition, we see its upstream business benefiting from a strong pipeline of long-lived assets with an improving decline rate of 3%, and the downstream unit should benefit over the long term from its complex large refineries, which offer feedstock and product flexibility. We see further expansion of activities in global LNG and frontier regions and targeted divestments across businesses.

- Risks to our recommendation and target price include deterioration in economic, industry and operating conditions, such as difficulty replacing reserves and increased production costs.

- On our DCF ($103; WACC 5.9%, terminal growth 3%) and relative valuations, including a target enterprise value of 5.5X our 2013 EBITDA estimate, a premium to the supermajor oil peer average of 4.8X, our 12-month target price is $103.
CORPORATE OVERVIEW. In late 1999, the FTC allowed Exxon and Mobil to reunite, creating Exxon Mobil Corp. ExxonMobil’s businesses include oil and natural gas exploration and production (80% of 2011 segment earnings); refining and marketing (10%); chemicals (10%); and other operations, such as electric power generation, coal and minerals.

Including non-consolidated equity interest, proved oil and gas reserves grew 0.5% in 2011, to 24.9 billion boe (48% liquids; 65% developed). Oil and gas production rose 1%, to 4.53 million boe/d (54% liquids). Rising production and reserves primarily reflected the completion of the XTO Energy acquisition. XOM replaced 107% of its 2011 production (116% excluding asset sales). Using data from John S. Herold, we estimate XOM’s three-year (2008-2010) reserve replacement at 154%, above the peer average of 145%; three-year finding and development costs at $19.05 per boe, above the peer average of $17.52 per boe; proved acquisition costs at $8.97 per boe, below the peer average of $9.65 per boe; and its reserve replacement costs at $15.51 per boe, below the peer average of $16.13 per boe.

At year-end 2011, XOM had an ownership interest in 38 refineries with 6.22 million barrels per day (b/d) of atmospheric distillation capacity (U.S. 31%, Europe 28%, Asia Pacific 27%, Canada 8%, and Middle East/Latin America/Other 6%). The marketing operations sell products and services throughout the world. Exxon, Esso and Mobil brands serve customers at over 25,000 retail service stations.

XOM’s Chemical segment manufactures and sells petrochemicals, supplying olefins, polyolefins, aromatics, and a wide variety of other petrochemicals. At year-end 2011, XOM had a chemicals complex capacity of 22.1 million metric tons per year.

MARKET PROFILE. Based on a blend of oil and gas assets and production volumes, we estimate that XOM is the largest publicly traded integrated oil company in the world, serving customers in over 200 countries. Africa contributed 25% of 2011 sales, the U.S. 21%, Europe 20%, Asia 20%, Canada/South America 10%, and Australia/Oceania 3%.

XOM maintains the largest portfolio of proved reserves and production in North America, and is the biggest net producer of oil and gas in Europe. Through wholly owned ExxonMobil Canada Ltd. and its 69.6%-owned affiliate Imperial Oil, XOM is one of the largest oil and gas producers in Canada.

XOM believes it is the world’s biggest refiner, as well as the largest producer of polyolefins, benzene and paraxylene in the world. Since 1995, XOM has added about 0.5% per annum to its refining capacity, the equivalent of building an average-sized refinery every three years.

CORPORATE STRATEGY. XOM plans to invest about $185 billion over the next five years, or $37 billion per year. A total of 21 major oil and gas projects will begin production between 2012 and 2014. In 2012 and 2013, XOM expects to start up nine major projects and anticipates adding over 1 million boe/d by 2016. Of the nine major upstream projects expected to start up in the next two years, four are in West Africa, and others include the Kashagan Phase 1 in Kazakhstan and the Karpal Oil Sands project in Canada.

IMPACT OF MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS. In August 2011, XOM and Russia’s Rosneft executed a Strategic Cooperation Agreement under which the companies plan to undertake joint exploration and development of hydrocarbon resources in Russia, the U.S. and other countries throughout the world, and commence technology- and expertise-sharing activities. The agreement includes approximately US$3.2 billion to be spent funding exploration in the Kara Sea and Black Sea, among the most promising and least explored offshore areas globally. The agreement provides Rosneft with an opportunity to gain equity interest in a number of XOM’s exploration opportunities in North America, including the deepwater Gulf of Mexico and tight oil fields, as well as additional opportunities in other countries. The companies also agreed to conduct a joint study of developing tight oil resources in Western Siberia, and will create an Arctic Research and Design Center for Offshore Developments.

On June 25, 2010, XOM purchased XTO Energy, Inc. in an all-stock deal valued at $40.5 billion (including $10.0 billion of long-term debt). The deal added 2,471 million boe (84% natural gas) at an implied value of $11.51 per proved boe. We believe XOM’s technical expertise will unlock additional XTO resource potential in the coming years, and XTO’s organization should provide a complementary platform for XOM to expand its unconventional natural gas and oil production worldwide.

FINANCIAL TRENDS. Strong earnings have enabled XOM to build a cash balance of $12.7 billion, compared with long-term debt of $9.3 billion as of December 31, 2011. We believe XOM has enjoyed above-average returns versus peers.

To fuel growth, pre-XTO merger, capital spending was $27.1 billion in 2009. XOM spent about $36.8 billion (84% upstream) in 2011, and has budgeted $37 billion per year through 2016.

XOM has continued its share repurchases, and appears to be using buybacks to balance its cash use. XOM’s common stock repurchases totaled $5 billion over the past four quarters, and it plans about $5 billion of buybacks per quarter. In 2011, XOM repurchased $26 billion of its shares, and by the end of the first quarter of 2012 had repurchased the shares issued for the XTO acquisition.
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Quantitative Evaluations

S&P Fair Value Rank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOWEST</td>
<td>HIGHEST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on S&P’s proprietary quantitative model, stocks are ranked from most overvalued (1) to most undervalued (5).

Fair Value Calculation

$92.00  Analysis of the stock’s current worth, based on S&P’s proprietary quantitative model suggests that XOM is fairly valued.

Investability Quotient

Percentile

LOWEST = 1  HIGHEST = 100

XOM scored higher than 100% of all companies for which an S&P Report is available.

Volatility

LOW  AVERAGE  HIGH

Technical Evaluation

BULLISH  Since September, 2012, the technical indicators for XOM have been BULLISH.

Insider Activity

UNFAVORABLE  NEUTRAL  FAVORABLE

Company Financials  Fiscal Year Ended Dec. 31

Per Share Data (U.S. $)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tangible Book Value</td>
<td>32.61</td>
<td>29.49</td>
<td>23.39</td>
<td>22.70</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>19.87</td>
<td>18.13</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>13.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Flow</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P Core Earnings</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payout Ratio</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prices:High</td>
<td>88.23</td>
<td>73.69</td>
<td>82.73</td>
<td>96.12</td>
<td>95.27</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td>65.96</td>
<td>52.05</td>
<td>41.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prices:Low</td>
<td>67.03</td>
<td>55.94</td>
<td>61.86</td>
<td>56.51</td>
<td>69.02</td>
<td>56.42</td>
<td>49.25</td>
<td>39.91</td>
<td>31.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/E Ratio:High</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/E Ratio:Low</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Income Statement Analysis (Million U.S. $)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>452,926</td>
<td>383,221</td>
<td>310,586</td>
<td>477,259</td>
<td>405,552</td>
<td>377,635</td>
<td>370,680</td>
<td>298,025</td>
<td>246,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>76,689</td>
<td>150,107</td>
<td>59,255</td>
<td>52,05</td>
<td>41,13</td>
<td>44,58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization</td>
<td>15,600</td>
<td>14,760</td>
<td>11,917</td>
<td>12,379</td>
<td>12,250</td>
<td>11,416</td>
<td>10,253</td>
<td>9,767</td>
<td>9,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Expense</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretax Income</td>
<td>73,257</td>
<td>52,959</td>
<td>34,777</td>
<td>81,750</td>
<td>71,479</td>
<td>68,453</td>
<td>60,231</td>
<td>52,860</td>
<td>32,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Tax Rate</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Income</td>
<td>41,060</td>
<td>30,460</td>
<td>19,280</td>
<td>45,220</td>
<td>40,610</td>
<td>39,500</td>
<td>36,130</td>
<td>25,330</td>
<td>20,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P Core Earnings</td>
<td>41,327</td>
<td>31,732</td>
<td>21,109</td>
<td>44,999</td>
<td>41,250</td>
<td>40,263</td>
<td>36,130</td>
<td>25,330</td>
<td>20,960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Balance Sheet & Other Financial Data (Million U.S. $)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>12,664</td>
<td>7,827</td>
<td>10,862</td>
<td>32,007</td>
<td>34,500</td>
<td>32,648</td>
<td>28,671</td>
<td>18,531</td>
<td>10,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets</td>
<td>72,963</td>
<td>58,984</td>
<td>55,235</td>
<td>72,666</td>
<td>85,963</td>
<td>75,174</td>
<td>73,342</td>
<td>70,678</td>
<td>63,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>331,052</td>
<td>302,510</td>
<td>233,323</td>
<td>226,052</td>
<td>242,082</td>
<td>219,015</td>
<td>208,335</td>
<td>195,256</td>
<td>174,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Liabilities</td>
<td>77,505</td>
<td>14,760</td>
<td>11,917</td>
<td>12,379</td>
<td>12,250</td>
<td>11,416</td>
<td>10,253</td>
<td>9,767</td>
<td>9,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term Debt</td>
<td>9,062</td>
<td>11,923</td>
<td>6,761</td>
<td>7,025</td>
<td>7,183</td>
<td>6,645</td>
<td>6,220</td>
<td>5,013</td>
<td>4,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Equity</td>
<td>154,396</td>
<td>146,839</td>
<td>110,569</td>
<td>112,965</td>
<td>121,762</td>
<td>113,844</td>
<td>111,186</td>
<td>101,756</td>
<td>89,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital</td>
<td>173,237</td>
<td>170,787</td>
<td>123,323</td>
<td>144,274</td>
<td>156,126</td>
<td>141,340</td>
<td>138,264</td>
<td>131,813</td>
<td>118,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Expenditures</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>26,871</td>
<td>22,491</td>
<td>19,318</td>
<td>15,387</td>
<td>15,462</td>
<td>13,839</td>
<td>11,986</td>
<td>12,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Flow</td>
<td>56,860</td>
<td>46,158</td>
<td>31,197</td>
<td>57,599</td>
<td>52,860</td>
<td>50,916</td>
<td>46,383</td>
<td>35,097</td>
<td>19,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Ratio</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Long Term Debt of Capitalization</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Return on Assets</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Return on Equity</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Sub-Industry Outlook

Our fundamental outlook for the integrated oil & gas sub-industry for the next 12 months is positive. We look for U.S.-based supermajors to benefit from superior earnings, cash flow and dividend growth. S&P Capital IQ estimates that global GDP expanded 3% in 2011 and will grow 2.6% in 2012 and 2.7% in 2013. We see continued, albeit slowing, M&A activity, notably in U.S. onshore, and we look for increased Gulf of Mexico drilling. Also, integrators continue downsizing their downstream operations.

The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) estimates that global oil demand grew by 1.19 million barrels per day (MMb/d) in 2011, to 88.26 MMb/d, and, as of September 2012, sees growth of 0.83 MMb/d in 2012, to 89.09 MMb/d, and 1.01 MMb/d in 2013, to 99.11 MMb/d. The EIA estimates global oil supply fell by 0.06 MMb/d in 2011, to 87.02 MMb/d, and forecasts supply growth of 1.15 MMb/d in 2012 and 1.52 MMb/d in 2013. On disruptions in Libya, OPEC spare production capacity is believed to have fallen to 2.2 MMb/d in the second quarter, according to the EIA, which sees OPEC production at 31.04 MMb/d.

After global oil prices began to climb in early 2012, prices slipped in May, to below $80 per barrel, on tempered global economic and oil demand projections. Since July, prices have been on the rise again on a number of fronts. The EU, China and the U.S. will provide additional economic stimulus to counteract slowing growth. Sanctions on Iran and threats to block oil from the Strait of Hormuz, the possibility of Israeli action against Iran’s nuclear facilities, and concerns about reduced production in the North Sea due to turnarounds have also bolstered prices. As of August 2012, using S&P estimates based on data from IHS Global Insight, West Texas Intermediate (WTI) spot oil prices were projected to average $91.96 per barrel in 2012 and $89.50 in 2013.

For U.S. natural gas, we look for low prices to depress U.S. gas drilling activity in 2012. According to the EIA, natural gas working inventories ended the week of September 14, 2012, at an estimated 3.5 trillion cubic feet (Tcf), up about 10% from a year earlier. As of August, based on data from IHS Global Insight, S&P expects Henry Hub spot prices to average $2.55 per million Btu in 2012 and $3.83 in 2013, versus $4.00 in 2011.

Year to date to September 14, the S&P Integrated Oil & Gas Sub-Industry Index was up 7.5%, versus a 16.6% rise in the S&P 1500 Composite Index, reflecting weaker oil and gas prices. In 2011, the sub-industry index was up 11.8%, versus a 0.3% decline for the 1500.

---Michael Kay

Sub-Industry: Integrated Oil & Gas Peer Group*: Supermajor Integrated Oil & Gas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peer Group</th>
<th>Stock Symbol</th>
<th>Skt.Mkt. Cap. (Mil. $)</th>
<th>Recent Stock Price($)</th>
<th>52 Week High/Low($)</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>P/E Ratio</th>
<th>Fair Value Calc($)</th>
<th>S&amp;P IQ%ile</th>
<th>Quality Ranking</th>
<th>Return on Revenue (%)</th>
<th>LTD to Cap (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exxon Mobil</td>
<td>XOM</td>
<td>424,297</td>
<td>91.92</td>
<td>52.50/69.21</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG Group plc ADR</td>
<td>BRGYY</td>
<td>68,825</td>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>24.69/17.58</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP p.l.c. ADS</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>136,394</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>46.34/33.62</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45.10</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevron Corp</td>
<td>CVX</td>
<td>231,140</td>
<td>117.80</td>
<td>118.53/86.68</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China Petroleum &amp; Chem ADS</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>64,563</td>
<td>92.18</td>
<td>125.36/82.51</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENI S.p.A. ADS</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>86,899</td>
<td>47.94</td>
<td>49.65/33.13</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45.80</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecopetrol S.A. ADS</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>121,870</td>
<td>59.28</td>
<td>67.52/37.48</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occidental Petroleum</td>
<td>OXY</td>
<td>70,781</td>
<td>87.39</td>
<td>106.68/66.36</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>79.50</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PetroChina Co Ltd ADS</td>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>240,032</td>
<td>131.15</td>
<td>153.35/113.00</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrobras Brasileiro S.A. ADS</td>
<td>PBR</td>
<td>151,577</td>
<td>23.24</td>
<td>32.60/17.27</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Dutch Shell A ADS</td>
<td>RDS.A</td>
<td>224,289</td>
<td>71.59</td>
<td>74.52/57.97</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>77.30</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Dutch Shell B ADR</td>
<td>RDS.B</td>
<td>99,710</td>
<td>73.49</td>
<td>77.53/58.37</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>78.90</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statoil ASA ADR</td>
<td>STD</td>
<td>85,343</td>
<td>26.82</td>
<td>28.95/20.37</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.30</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suncor Energy</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>52,287</td>
<td>33.83</td>
<td>37.37/22.55</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33.90</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total A' ADS</td>
<td>TOT</td>
<td>117,824</td>
<td>52.25</td>
<td>51.06/41.41</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA-Not Available NM-Not Meaningful NR-Not Rated. *For Peer Groups with more than 15 companies or stocks, selection of issues is based on market capitalization.
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S&P Analyst Research Notes and other Company News

September 20, 2012
04:23 pm ET ... S&P MAINTAINS BUY RECOMMENDATION ON SHARES OF DENBURY RESOURCES (DNR 17.35***+): DNR agrees to sell its Bakken assets to ExxonMobil (XOM 91.80****) for $1.8B and XOM’s interest in tertiary fields in Texas and Wyoming. DNR returns to a pure-play in tertiary oil recovery. Pro-forma production and reserves will be 17% and 15% lower. The deal is expected to close in Q4. Separately, we lower our ‘12 EPS estimate $0.11 to $1.38 and ‘13’s $0.24 to $1.45, on lower oil prices than seen in H1. On our proved reserve net asset value (NAV) estimate of $22, and wider oil peer valuations, we lift our target price $1 to $20. Shares trade at a wider discount to NAV than peers. /M. Kay

July 26, 2012
XOM posts $3.41 vs. $2.18 Q2 EPS (current Q incl. net gain of $7.5B associated with divestments and tax-related items) on lower capital and exploration expenditures (-9% y/y). Says oil-equivalent production decreased 5.6% from Q1. Excluding the impacts of entitlement volumes, OPEC quota effects and divestments, production was essentially flat.

July 26, 2012
12:16 pm ET ... S&P MAINTAINS STRONG BUY RECOMMENDATION ON SHARES OF EXXON MOBIL CORP (XOM 85.80****+): After Q2 miss and lower oil and gas prices, we cut our ‘12 EPS estimate $0.42 to $7.58 and ‘13’s by $0.92 to $8.18. Our target price of $103 reflects our DCF valuation of $103 and a target EV-to-’13 EBITDA multiple of 5.5X. Q2 EPS, excluding $7.5B in items, of $1.80, vs. $2.18, missed our view by $0.13, on lower prices and production in the upstream, and weak chemicals margins. Q2 was impacted by weak U.S. gas but we see project start-ups over the next few years, focused on liquids, and new ventures (Arctic), alleviating some production worries going forward. /M. Kay

July 16, 2012
Exxon Mobil Corporation announced that Mr. S.J. (Sherman) Glass, Jr., vice president of the company has elected to retire on Aug. 1, 2012, after more than 40 years of service. It is anticipated that the board of directors of will elect Mr. D.W. (Darren) Woods as vice president of the corporation, effective Aug. 1, 2012. Mr. Woods is currently vice president, Supply & Transportation, ExxonMobil Refining & Supply Company. Mr. Glass began his career in 1972 as an engineer at the Baytown refinery in Texas. Following a number of technical and management roles in the refining business of Exxon Company USA, he became vice president, Basic Chemicals, Americas, Exxon Chemical Company, in 1993, and in 1996, transferred to Brussels as vice president, Basic Chemicals Europe. He then held a number of other senior positions, including manager refining, Exxon Company International, responsible for Exxon’s refining business outside North America. In 2001, Mr. Glass became general manager, Corporate Planning, Exxon Mobil Corporation, before being appointed president, ExxonMobil Global Services Company in 2002. Mr. Glass was appointed senior vice president, Basic Chemicals, Intermediates & Synthetics, Exxon Chemical Company in 2005 and president of ExxonMobil Refining & Supply Company in 2008. Mr. Woods, 47, joined Exxon Company International in 1992 and had assignments in planning, supply and new business development at Exxon Company International headquarters in New Jersey before moving to the United Kingdom in 1995, where he held positions in refinery operations, retail and supply and distribution. In 2001, he became manager of Investor Relations for the company and in 2002 became manager of Joliet refinery outside Chicago, IL. Mr. Woods was appointed vice president of ExxonMobil Chemical Company’s Adhesion business in 2005 and vice president for Specialty Elastomers in 2007. He was appointed director of refining for Europe, Africa, and the Middle East for ExxonMobil Refining & Supply Company in 2008 and vice president of Supply & Transportation in 2010.

April 26, 2012
XOM posts $2.00 vs. $2.14 Q1 EPS, 5% lower oil-equivalent production. Says earnings from U.S. Upstream ops were $0.11B, $269M lower than Q1 2011. Non-U.S. Upstream earnings were $6.792B, down $604M from prior year. Notes capital and exploration expenditures were $8B as it continues with plans to invest about $37 billion per year over the next five years.

April 26, 2012
12:32 pm ET ... S&P MAINTAINS STRONG BUY RECOMMENDATION ON SHARES OF EXXON MOBIL CORP (XOM 85.36****+): Q1 EPS of $2.00, vs. $2.14, misses our view by $0.15, mostly on international E&P. Volume fell 5% on OPEC quotas and production sharing. XOM still targets a ‘12 3% decline production, as it sees start-ups in H2. Cash flow generation is tops in its peer group, and we see 9% growth vs. 6% for peers. We cut our ‘12 EPS view $0.55 to $8.00, but keep ‘13’s at $9.10. Despite Q1 EPS and production miss, we view cash flows, balance sheet, E&P project inventory and downstream performance as top among supermajors. We note Q2 dividend of $0.57/share is up 21%. Our target price is $103. /M. Kay

March 1, 2012
Exxon Mobil Corporation announced the election of Henrietta H. Fore to its board of directors. Ms. Fore is chairman and chief executive officer of Holmes International, an investment and management company. Ms. Fore served from November 2007 to January 2009 as the first female administrator of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and as director of U.S. Foreign Assistance. Previously, Ms. Fore served as undersecretary of state for management, the chief operating officer for the Department of State, and as the 37th director of the United States Mint in the Department of Treasury and was elected president of the International Mint Directors.

February 28, 2012
Exxon Mobil Corporation announced retirement of L. J. (Lucille) Cavanaugh of Vice President, Human Resources, effective April 1, 2012. The company anticipated that the board of directors will elect M. (Malcolm) Farrant, as vice president, Human Resources, effective April 1, 2012. Mr. Farrant is currently executive assistant to the chairman, Exxon Mobil Corporation.

January 31, 2012
Up 0.00 to $5.49... XOM posts $1.97 vs. $1.85 Q4 EPS. Capital IQ consensus forecast was $1.97. Says it recorded strong results while investing at record levels to develop new supplies of energy that are critical to meeting growing world demand.

January 31, 2012
12:23 pm ET ... S&P MAINTAINS STRONG BUY RECOMMENDATION ON SHARES OF EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION (XOM 83.72****+): Q4 EPS of $1.97, vs. $1.85, is $0.12 below our view on refining/chemicals. E&P was in line as prices offset soft volumes (up 1% in ‘11), impacted by OPEC quotas and production sharing (up 4% ex-imacts). U.S. onshore should ramp in ‘12, on rigs at Woodford and Bakken, and Permian testing. We see growth in Angola/Nigeria, where it moved a deepwater rig. Kearl project, seen adding 120 MBOE/d by ‘12, is 87% done. We look for deepwater success and cash flow over $65B on a production ramp in ‘12, allowing it to fund a $37B capex plan. We see ‘12 EPS of $9.95 and set ‘13’s at $9.10. /M. Kay

Source: S&P.
Redistribution or reproduction is prohibited without written permission. Copyright ©2012 The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
Of the total 29 companies following XOM, 21 analysts currently publish recommendations.

### Analysts' Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monthly Average Trend</th>
<th>Buy</th>
<th>Buy/Hold</th>
<th>Hold</th>
<th>Weak Hold</th>
<th>Sell</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
<th>XOM Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall Street Average</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>BH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>WH</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Number of Analysts Following Stock

- Total: 21
- Buy: 7
- Buy/Hold: 2
- Hold: 11
- Weak Hold: 0
- Sell: 0
- No Opinion: 1

### Analysts' Recommendations by Rating

- **Buy**: 7 (33% of Total)
- **Buy/Hold**: 2 (10% of Total)
- **Hold**: 11 (52% of Total)
- **Weak Hold**: 0 (0% of Total)
- **Sell**: 0 (0% of Total)
- **No Opinion**: 1 (5% of Total)

### Wall Street Consensus Estimates

#### Fiscal Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2013 vs. 2012</strong></td>
<td>▲ 7%</td>
<td>▲ 22%</td>
<td>▼ -20%</td>
<td>▲ 0%</td>
<td>▼ -7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Quarterly Estimates

- **Q3'13**: 1.97, 2.20, 1.28, 20, 46.7
- **Q3'12**: 1.84, 2.20, 1.59, 15, 50.0
- **Q3'13 vs. Q3'12**: ▲ 7%, ▼ -19%, ▼ -33%, ▼ -7%

### Wall Street Consensus vs. Performance

- For fiscal year 2012, analysts estimate that XOM will earn $7.62. For the 2nd quarter of fiscal year 2012, XOM announced earnings per share of $3.41, representing 45% of the total annual estimate. For fiscal year 2013, analysts estimate that XOM's earnings per share will grow by 7% to $8.17.

A company's earnings outlook plays a major part in any investment decision. Standard & Poor's organizes the earnings estimates of over 2,300 Wall Street analysts, and provides their consensus of earnings over the next two years. This graph shows the trend in analyst estimates over the past 15 months.

Source: S&P Capital IQ Estimates, Inc.
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Glossary

S&P STARS
Since January 1, 1987, Standard and Poor’s Equity Research Services has ranked a universe of common stocks based on a given stock’s potential for future performance. Under proprietary STARS (Stock Appreciation Ranking System), S&P equity analysts rank stocks according to their individual forecast of a stock’s future total return potential versus the expected total return of a relevant benchmark (e.g., a regional index (S&P Asia 50 Index, S&P Europe 350 Index or S&P 500 Index)), based on a 12-month time horizon. STARS was designed to meet the needs of investors looking to put their investment decisions in perspective. Data used to assist in determining the STARS ranking may be the result of the analyst’s own models as well as internal proprietary models resulting from dynamic data inputs.

S&P 12-Month Target Price
The S&P equity analyst’s projection of the market price a given stock is expected to reach within 12 months hence, based on a combination of intrinsic, relative, and private market valuation metrics, including S&P Fair Value.

Investment Style Classification
Characterizes the stock as Growth or Value, and indicates its capitalization level. Growth is evaluated along three dimensions (earnings, sales and internal growth), while Value is evaluated along four dimensions (book-to-price, cash flow-to-price, dividend yield and safe-to-price). Growth stocks score higher than the market average on growth dimensions and lower on value dimensions. The reverse is true for Value stocks. Certain stocks are classified as Blend, indicating a mixture of growth and value characteristics and cannot be classified as purely growth or value.

S&P EPS Estimates
Standard & Poor’s earnings per share (EPS) estimates reflect analyst projections of future EPS from continuing operations, and generally exclude various items that are viewed as special, non-recurring, or extraordinary. Also, S&P EPS estimates reflect either forecasts of S&P equity analysts or, the consensus (average) EPS estimate, which are independently compiled by Capital IQ, a data provider to Standard & Poor’s Equity Research. Among the items typically excluded from EPS estimates are asset sale gains; impairment, restructuring or merger-related charges; legal and insurance settlements; in process research and development expenses; gains or losses on the extinguishment of debt; the cumulative effect of accounting changes; and earnings related to operations that have been classified by the company as discontinued. The inclusion of some items, such as stock option expense and recurring types of other charges, may vary, and depend on such factors as industry practice, accounting judgment, and the extent to which some types of data is disclosed by companies.

S&P Core Earnings
Standard & Poor’s Core Earnings is a uniform methodology for adjusting operating earnings by focusing on a company’s after-tax earnings generated from its principal businesses. Included in the Standard & Poor’s definition are employee stock option grant expenses, pension costs, restructuring charges from ongoing operations, write-downs of depreciable or amortizable operating assets, purchased research and development, M&A related expenses and unrealized gains/losses from hedging activities. Excluded from the definition are pension gains, impairment of goodwill charges, gains or losses from asset sales, reversal of prior-year charges and provision from litigation or insurance settlements.

Qualitative Risk Assessment
The S&P equity analyst’s view of a given company’s operational risk, or the risk of a firm’s ability to continue as an ongoing concern. The Qualitative Risk Assessment is a relative ranking to the S&P U.S. STARS universe, and should be reflective of risk factors related to a company’s operations, as opposed to risk and volatility measures associated with share prices.

Quantitative Evaluations
In contrast to our qualitative STARS recommendations, which are assigned by S&P analysts, the quantitative evaluations described below are derived from proprietary arithmetic models. These computer-driven evaluations may at times contradict an analyst’s qualitative assessment of a stock. One primary reason for this is that different measures are used to determine each. For instance, when designating STARS, S&P analysts assess many factors that cannot be reflected in a model, such as risks and opportunities, management changes, recent competitive shifts, patent expiration, litigation risk, etc.

S&P Quality Ranking
Growth and stability of earnings and dividends are deemed key elements in establishing S&P’s Quality Rankings for common stocks, which are designed to capsize the nature of this record in a single symbol. It should be noted, however, that the process also takes into consideration certain adjustments and modifications deemed desirable in establishing such rankings. The final score for each against a scoring matrix determined by analysis of the scores of a large and representative sample of stocks. The range of scores in the array of this sample has been aligned with the following ladder of rankings:

- A+ Highest
- A High
- A- Average Above
- B+ Average
- B Below Average
- C Lowest
- NR Not Ranked

S&P Fair Value Rank
Using S&P’s exclusive proprietary quantitative model, stocks are ranked in one of five groups, ranging from Group 5, listing the most undervalued stocks, to Group 1, the most overvalued issues. Group 5 stocks are expected to generally outperform all others. A positive (+) or negative (-) Timing Index is placed next to the Fair Value ranking to further aid the selection process. A stock with a (+) added to the Fair Value Rank simply means that this stock has a somewhat better chance to outperform other stocks with the same Fair Value Rank. A stock with a (-) has a somewhat lesser chance to outperform other stocks with the same Fair Value Rank. The Fair Value rankings imply the following: 5-Stock is significantly undervalued; 4-Stock is moderately undervalued; 3-Stock is fairly valued; 2-Stock is modestly overvalued; 1-Stock is significantly overvalued.

S&P Fair Value Calculation
The price at which a stock should trade at, according to S&P’s proprietary quantitative model that incorporates both actual and estimated variables (as opposed to only actual variables in the case of S&P Quality Ranking). Relying heavily on a company’s actual return on equity, the S&P Fair Value model places a value on a security based on placing a formula-derived price-to-book multiple on a company’s consensus earnings per share estimate.

Insider Activity
Gives an insight as to insider sentiment by showing whether directors, officers and key employees who have proprietary information not available to the general public, are buying or selling the company’s stock during the most recent six months.

Funds From Operations FF0
FF0 is Funds from Operations and equal to a REIT’s net income, excluding gains or losses from sales of property, plus real estate depreciation.

Investment Quotient (IQ)
The IQ is a measure of investment desirability. It serves as an indicator of potential medium-to-long term return and as a caution against downside risk. The measure takes into account variables such as technical indicators, earnings estimates, liquidity, financial ratios and selected S&P proprietary measures.

S&P’s IQ Rationale:
Exxon Mobil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw Score</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical Evaluation
In researching the past market history of prices and trading volume for each company, S&P’s computer models apply special technical methods and formulas to identify and project price trends for the stock.

Relative Strength Rank
Shows, on a scale of 1 to 99, how the stock has performed versus all other companies in S&P’s universe on a rolling 13-week basis.

Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)
An industry classification standard, developed by Standard & Poor’s in collaboration with Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI). GICS is currently comprised of 10 Sectors, 24 Industry Groups, 68 Industries, and 154 Sub-Industries.

S&P Issuer Credit Rating
A Standard & Poor’s Issuer Credit Rating is a current opinion of an obligor’s overall financial capacity (its creditworthiness) to pay its financial obligations. This opinion focuses on the obligor’s capacity and willingness to meet its financial commitments as they come due. It does not apply to any specific financial obligation, as it does not take into account the nature of and provisions of the obligation, its standing in bankruptcy or liquidation, statutory preferences, or the legality and enforceability of the obligation. In addition, it does not take into account the creditworthiness of the guarantors, insurers, or other forms of credit enhancement on the obligation. The Issuer Credit Rating is not a recommendation to purchase, sell, or hold a financial obligation issued by an obligor, as it does not comment on market price or suitability for a particular investor. Issuer Credit Ratings are based on current information furnished by obligors or obtained by Standard & Poor’s from other sources it considers reliable. Standard & Poor’s does not perform an audit in connection with any Issuer Credit Rating and may, on occasion, rely on unsatisfid financial information. Issuer Credit Ratings may be changed, suspended, or withdrawn as a result of changes in, or unavailability of, such information, or based on other circumstances.

Exchange Type
AEE - American Stock Exchange; AU - Australia Stock Exchange; BB - Bulletin Board; NGM - Nasdaq Global Market; NNM - Nasdaq Global Select Market; NAS - Nasdaq Capital Market; NYS - New York Stock Exchange; OTCN - Other OTC (Over the Counter); OTC - Over the Counter; QB - OTCBB; QX - OTCQX; TS - Toronto Stock Exchange; TXV - TSX Venture Exchange; NEX - NEX Exchange.

S&P Equity Research Services
underperform the total return of a relevant benchmark over the coming 12 months, and the share price not expected to show a gain.

★ ★ ★ ★ 1-STARS (Strong Sell): Total return is expected to outperform the total return of a relevant benchmark by a wide margin over the coming 12 months, with shares falling in price on an absolute basis.

★ ★ ★★ 1-STARS (Strong Sell): Total return is expected to outperform the total return of a relevant benchmark by a wide margin over the coming 12 months, with shares falling in price on an absolute basis. Standard & Poor’s index, such as the S&P 500. In cases where Standard & Poor’s or an affiliate is paid fees that are tied to the amount of assets that are invested in the fund or the volume of trading activity in the fund, investment in the fund will generally result in Standard & Poor’s or an affiliate earning compensation in addition to the subscription fees or other compensation for services rendered by Standard & Poor’s. A reference to a particular investment or security by Standard & Poor’s and one of its affiliates is not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold such investment or security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Indexes are unmanaged, statistical composites and their returns do not include payment of any sales charges or fees an investor would pay to purchase the securities they represent. Such costs would lower performance. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

Standard & Poor’s and its affiliates provide a wide range of services to, or relating to, many organizations, including issuers of securities, investment advisers, broker-dealers, investment banks, other financial institutions and financial intermediaries, and accordingly may receive fees or other economic benefits from those organizations, including organizations whose securities or services they may recommend, rate, include in model portfolios, evaluate or otherwise address.

S&P Capital IQ and/or one of its affiliates has performed services for and received a fee from this company during the past twelve months.

Other Disclosures

This report has been prepared and issued by Standard & Poor’s and/or one of its affiliates. In the United States, research reports are prepared by Standard & Poor’s Investment Advisory Services LLC (“SPIAS”). In the United States, research reports are issued by Standard & Poor’s (“S&P”), in the United Kingdom by McGraw-Hill Financial Research Europe Limited, which is authorized and regulated by the Financial Services Authority and trades as Standard & Poor’s, in Hong Kong by Standard & Poor’s Investment Advisory Services (HK) Limited, which is regulated by the Securities & Futures Commission; in Singapore by McGraw-Hill Financial Singapore Pte. Limited (MHFSPL), which is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore; in Malaysia by Standard & Poor’s Malaysia Sdn Bhd (“S&P’M’), which is regulated by the Securities Commission; in Australia by Standard & Poor’s Information Services (Australia) Pty Ltd. (“S&P’I’AS”), which is regulated in Australia as a cross-border investment advisory company.

The research and analytical services performed by SPIAS, McGraw-Hill Financial Research Europe Limited, MHFSPL, S&P’M’, and S&P’I’AS are each conducted separately from any other analytical activity of Standard & Poor’s. Neither S&P nor its affiliates guarantee the accuracy of the translation. Assumptions, opinions and estimates constitute our judgment as of the date of this report. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results.

Standard & Poor’s and its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees, or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness or adequacy of this material, and S&P Parties shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions therein, regardless of the cause, or for the results obtained from the use of the information provided by the S&P Parties. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, SUITABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the information contained in this document even if advised of the possibility of such damages. Capital IQ is a business of Standard & Poor’s.

Ratings from Standard & Poor’s Ratings Services are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. Standard & Poor’s assumes no obligation to update its opinions following publication in any form or format. Standard & Poor’s ratings should not be relied on and are not substitutes for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment decisions.
investment and other business decisions. Standard & Poor’s rating opinions do not address the suitability of any security. Standard & Poor’s does not act as a fiduciary, while Standard & Poor’s has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, Standard & Poor’s does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

Standard & Poor’s keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of Standard & Poor’s may have information that is not available to other Standard & Poor’s business units. Standard & Poor’s has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

This material is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any security or other financial instrument. Securities, financial instruments or strategies mentioned herein may not be suitable for all investors. Any opinions expressed herein are given in good faith, are subject to change without notice, and are only current as of the stated date of their issue. Prices, values, or income from any securities or investments mentioned in this report may fall against the interests of the investor and the investment may get back less than the amount invested. Where an investment is described as being likely to yield income, please note that the amount of the income that the investor will receive from such an investment may fluctuate. Where an investment or security is denominated in a different currency to the investor’s original cost, the investor’s shares may be worth more or less than their original cost.

This document does not constitute an offer of services in jurisdictions where Standard & Poor’s or its affiliates do not have the necessary licenses.

For residents of the U.K. - This report is only directed at and should only be relied on by persons outside of the United Kingdom or persons who are inside the United Kingdom and who have professional experience in matters relating to investments or who are high net worth persons, as defined in Article 19(5) or Article 49(2)

(a) to (d) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005, respectively.

For residents of Singapore - Anything herein that may be construed as a recommendation is intended for general circulation and does not take into account the specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular person. Advice should be sought from a financial adviser regarding the suitability of an investment, taking into account the specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any person in receipt of the recommendation, before the person makes a commitment to purchase the investment product.

For residents of Malaysia - All queries in relation to this report should be referred to Ching Wah Tam.

For residents of Indonesia - This research report does not constitute an offering document and it should not be construed as an offer of securities in Indonesia, and that any such securities will only be offered or sold through a financial institution.

For residents of the Philippines - The securities being offered or sold have not been registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Regulation Code of the Philippines. Any future offer or sale thereof is subject to registration requirements under the Code unless such offer or sale qualifies as an exempt transaction.

U.S. STARS Cumulative Model Performance

Hypothetical Growth Due to Price Appreciation of $100
For the Period 12/31/1986 through 08/01/2012

The performance above represents only the results of Standard & Poor’s model portfolios. Model performance has inherent limitations. Standard & Poor’s maintains the models and calculates the model performance shown, but does not manage any U.S. STARS. The model performance chart is only an illustration of Standard & Poor’s (S&P) research; it shows how U.S. common stocks, ADRs (American Depositary Receipts) and ADSs (American Depositary Shares), collectively “equities”, that received particular STARS rankings performed. STARS categories are models only; they are not collective investment funds. The STARS performance does not show how any actual portfolio has performed. STARS model performance does not represent the results of actual trading of investor assets. Thus, the model performance shown does not reflect the impact that material economic and market factors might have had on decision-making if actual investor money had been managed. Performance is calculated using a time-weighted rate of return. While model performance for some or all STARS categories performed better than the S&P 500 for the period shown, the performance during any shorter period may not have, and there is no assurance that the S&P 500 in the future. In the S&P 500 for the period shown, the performance during any shorter period may not have, and there is no assurance that the S&P 500 will be used for rebalancing. Deletions are made at the closing price of the day that the deletion is made. Performance was calculated from inception through March 31, 2003 on a monthly basis. Thereafter performance is calculated daily. Equities in each STARS category will change over time, and some or all of the equities that received STARS rankings during the time period shown may not have maintained their STARS ranking during the entire period.

The model performance does not consider taxes and brokerage commissions, nor does it reflect the deduction of any advisory or other fees charged by advisors or other parties that investors will incur when their accounts are managed in accordance with the models.

The imposition of these fees and charges would cause actual performance to be lower than the performance shown. For example, if a model performs 1 percent better than the S&P 500 index, a $100,000 investment for a 12-month period (or $10,000) and an annual asset-based fee of 1.5 percent were imposed at the end of the period (or $165), the net return would be 8.35 percent (or $8,350) for the year. Over 3 years, an annual 1.5% fee taken at year end with an assumed 10% return per year would result in a cumulative gross return of 33.1%, a total fee of $5,375 and a cumulative net return of 27.3% (or $27,200). Fees deducted on a frequency other than annual would result in a different cumulative net return in the preceding example.

The Standard & Poor’s 500 index is the benchmark for U.S. STARS. The S&P 500 index is calculated in U.S. dollars and does not take into account the reinvestment of dividends. Indexes are unmanaged, statistical composites and their returns do not include payment of any sales charges or fees an investor would pay to purchase the securities they represent. Such costs would lower performance. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. The S&P 500 index includes a different number of constituents and has different risk characteristics than the STARS equities. Some of the STARS equities may have been included in the S&P 500 index for some (but not necessarily all) of the period covered in the chart, and some such equities may not have been included at all. The S&P 500 index excludes ADRs and ADSs. The methodology for calculating the return of the S&P 500 index differs from the methodology of calculating the return for STARS. Past performance of the S&P 500 index is no guarantee of future performance.

An investment based upon the models should only be made after consulting with a financial advisor and with an understanding of the risks associated with any investment in securities, including, but not limited to, market risk, currency risk, political and credit risk, the risk of economic recession and the risk that issuers of securities or general stock market conditions may worsen, over time. Foreign investing involves certain risks, including currency fluctuations and controls, restrictions on foreign investments, less governmental supervision and regulation, less liquidity and the potential for market volatility and instability. As with any investment, investment returns and principal value will fluctuate, so that when redeemed, an investor’s shares may be worth more or less than their original cost.

For residents of Australia – This report is distributed by Standard & Poor’s Information Services (Australia) Pty Ltd (“SPIS”) in Australia. The report of this report is approved by Charles Baumann, who has reviewed and authorised its content as at the date of publication.
Any express or implied opinion contained in this report is limited to "General Advice" and based solely on consideration of the investment merits of the financial product(s) alone. The information in this report has not been prepared for use by retail investors and has been prepared without taking account of any particular person's financial or investment objectives, financial situation or needs. Before acting on any advice, any person using the advice should consider its appropriateness having regard to their own or their clients' objectives, financial situation and needs. You should obtain a Product Disclosure Statement relating to the product and consider the statement before making any decision or recommendation about whether to acquire the product. Each opinion must be weighed solely as one factor in any investment decision made by or on behalf of any adviser and any such adviser must accordingly make their own assessment taking into account an individual's particular circumstances.